arXiv:astro-ph/0611059v1 2 Nov 2006

Comments on "An Exact, Three-Dimensional, Time-Dependent Wave Solution in Local Keplerian Flow" by Balbus and Hawley (astro-ph/0608429)

G. D. Chagelishvili and A. G. Tevzadze
E. Kharadze Abastumani National Astrophysical Observatory,
2a Al. Kazbegi Ave. Tbilisi 0160, Georgia,
E-mail: g.chagelishvili@astro-ge.org

February 5, 2008

Abstract

We analyze the scepticism on the hydrodynamic turbulence in Keplerian astrophysical disks expressed in Balbus and Hawley 2006 and show the failure of arguments of the paper.

Recently Balbus and Hawley 2006 (BH06) have shown time-dependent three-dimensional nonlinear wave solution when considering a local Keplerian flow. Authors have demonstrated that the linear wave solution derived in Johnson and Gammie (2005) is actually also valid nonlinearly when considering a single plane wave. This solution can be used as a test for the dissipative properties of the numerical codes. The key message of BH06 is given in the abstract: *"The existence of this solution argues against transient amplification as a route to turbulence in unmagnetized disks"*. However, this message does not correctly describes the situation in unmagnetized astrophysical disks. In the latter case the determinative factor of local dynamics is vertical stratification of the flow, which is neglected by BH06: the stratification originates the modes of perturbations that are able to withstand stabilizing influence of Coriolis forces. Dynamics of local 3D perturbation modes in stably stratified Keplerian flow is studied in detail by Tevzadze at el. 2003 (T03).

BH06 have omitted the key factors that provide the fundamental source of energy of the turbulence: the combined action of vertical gravity and Coriolis forces in 3D case results the conservation of the potential vorticity (see Eq. 11 of T03) that indicates the existence of a vortex/apperiodic mode in the perturbation spectrum of the system. This vortex mode is the primary in the transient amplification phenomenon. In the absence of any one of these (vertical gravity or Coriolis) forces vortex mode degenerates into the trivial solution of the system – it disappears.

Thus, in the unstratified Kepler flow considered in BH06 the vortex mode is absent, i.e., the main extractor of the shear flow energy is missing. Hence, it is incorrect to judge "against transient amplification as a route to turbulence in unmagnetized disks" based on the model that neglects the main energy source of the turbulence.

In three-dimensional hydrodynamic disks with vertical gravity the spiral wave mode considered in BH06 corresponds to the density-spiral wave that is internal gravity waves modified by the disk rotation. This wave does not extracts the energy of differential rotation efficiently, but is linearly coupled with the vortex mode. This coupling indicates the importance of the density-spiral waves along with the vortices in the overall dynamics of the system. The linear dynamics of small scale perturbations can be analyzed by a single spatial Fourier harmonic (see Eq. 9a of T03 and Eq. 25 of BH06, where spatial Fourier harmonics are referred to as plane waves): a leading Fourier harmonic of three-dimensional vortex mode gains background shear flow energy and are transiently amplified by the several orders of magnitude. Reaching the point of maximal amplification and switching to the trailing, it gives rise to the corresponding harmonic of the density-spiral wave due to the shear flow induced coupling. The generated wave maintains the energy of perturbations. In fact, overall energetic dynamics is similar to that occurred in the plane parallel constant shear flow (see Fig. 2 of T03). Hence, it is concluded that the linear dynamics in vertically stratified three-dimensional hydrodynamic Keplerian disks matches requirements of the bypass concept developed for the plane-parallel flows. (A simple sketch of the bypass scenario revealing its essence can be found in Chagelishvili et al. 2003.)

BH06 criticizes the hydrodynamic disk turbulence model also due to the fact that: *"linear theory and nonlinear theory are the same for a finite amplitude plane wave. ... the neglected nonlinear wave term leads not to a viable free energy source, but to mutual wave-wave interactions."* However, it is common knowledge in hydrodynamic community that the same situation is in spectrally stable plane-parallel shear flows that exhibit self-sustained turbulence. And it is precisely the bypass concept that was designed in the last decade of the 20th century in hydrodynamic community to describe onset of turbulence in such flows. There is no need in nonlinear energy sources in the bypass concept: nonlinear interactions (i.e. interactions of plane waves with different wavenumbers) are responsible only for redistribution of the plane waves in the wavenumber space. Thus, after linear transient growth nonlinear interactions repopulate exhausted leading plane waves and, in doing so, close the feedback loop of bypass transition to turbulence.

Finally, we would like to stress the confusion of *nonlinear instability* with *transient linear growth* occurred not only in the commented paper. Smooth shear flows (without inflection point in the velocity profile) are spectrally stable, meaning that exponentially growing solutions are absent. However, it is well known that finite amplitude perturbations sometimes lead to the transition from laminar to turbulent state at moderate, less then critical Reynolds number. At the initial stages of the research the concept of nonlinear instability was developed in hydrodynamics

to address this issue (see Chagelishvili et al. 2003 for references and detail description). Thus, until about a relatively recent years, the predominant view of this laminar-turbulent transition was focused around the slow linear amplification of exponentially growing perturbations (the familiar T-S waves), which modify the flow profile and thereby allow a secondary instability, further nonlinearity and finally a breakdown to turbulence. According to this concept the perturbations (and the turbulent state itself) are energetically sustained by nonlinear processes. This concept of *nonlinear instability* has been borrowed by astrophysicists, who still use it to explain turbulent processes in smooth astrophysical flows, where no spectrally unstable solution is known and in particular in Keplerian disk flows. However, next step in the understanding of the hydrodynamic turbulence have resulted the conceptual change of the turbulence transition scenario during the last decade of the 20th century. Another viewpoint – referred as the bypass transition – has been developed in the hydrodynamic community on the understanding of the onset of turbulence in spectrally stable shear flows. Although the bypass transition scenario involves nonlinear interactions – which intervene once the perturbations have reached finite amplitude – the dominant mechanism leading to these large amplitudes appears to be linear. This concept of the onset of turbulence is based on the linear transient growth of vortex mode (aperiodic) perturbations and is principally different from the concept of nonlinear instability.

References

Balbus, S. A., and Hawley, J. F., 2006 (BH06), *"Three-Dimensional, Time-Dependent Wave Solution in Local Keplerian Flow"*, astro-ph/0608429

Chagelishvili, G. D., Zahn, J.-P., Tevzadze, A. G., & Lominadze, J. G. 2003, *"On Hydrodynamic Shear Turbulence in Keplerian Disks: From Transient Growth to Bypass Transition"*, A&A, **402**, 401

Johnson, B. M., and Gammie, C. F. 2005, *"Linear Theory of Thin, Radially Stratified Disks"*, ApJ, **626**, 978

Tevzadze, A. G., Chagelishvili, G. D., Zahn, J.-P., Chanishvili, R. G. & Lominadze, J. G. 2003 (T03), *"On Hydrodynamic Shear Turbulence in Keplerian Disks: Transient Growth of 3D Small Scale Perturbations"*, A&A, **408**, 779